

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,473	02/26/2004	Milind Rajadhyaksha	ML-0493C	6991
24902	7590 11/21/2005		EXAMINER	
KENNETH J. LUKACHER SOUTH WINTON COURT 3136 WINTON ROAD SOUTH, SUITE 301			PUNNOOSE, ROY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, NY 14623	12301	2877	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1)	
	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11-	
Office Action Summary		10/787,473	RAJADHYAKSHA	RAJADHYAKSHA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Roy M. Punnoose	2877		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	idress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) N . cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this c BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au	<u>ugust 2005</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.			
3)🖂	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C).D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
- 4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>26-32 and 37-43</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>26-32 and 37-43</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			ED 4 404(-1)	
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National	l Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s)				
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)		
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/787,473 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Election

1. Acknowledgement is made of applicant's election of claims 26-43 without traverse filed on August 10, 2005. In response to the species restriction of the previous office action, the applicant elected with traverse claims 27-29 and 37-43 along with generic claims 26 and 30-32. In the previous office action, the applicant was advised, "should the applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case." In the response, the applicant has not provided any required evidence. Additionally, the applicant amended and restructured the claims, which changed the scope of the invention, which in turn required additional and burdensome search. This was the reason for the restriction of the previous office action.

Ex parte Quayle

2. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Applicant is required to either cancel claims 33-36, or, withdraw the traverse included in the papers filed on August 10, 2005.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 26-32 and 37-43 are allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,473 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

4. Claim 26 is allowable because prior art documents does not disclose a system for scanning tissue in which the illumination beam and returned illumination are cross polarized inside the tissue, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 26.

- 5. Claims 30-32 are allowable because they are dependent on independent claim 26 and they include all the allowable limitations of the parent claim.
- 6. Claim 27 is allowable because prior art documents does not disclose a system for scanning tissue in which the illumination beam and returned illumination from tissue are cross polarized, wherein said tissue is located in a solution which enhances brightness of one or more tissue structures, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 27.
- 7. Claims 28-29 are allowable because they are dependent on independent claim 27 and they include all the allowable limitations of the parent claim.
- 8. Claims 37 and 41 are allowable because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a system or method for scanning tissue or detect cancerous tissue cells comprising polarizing both the illumination light and the light returned from the tissue and controlling or adjusting the polarization of the illumination or returned beam, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the respective claims.
- Claims 38-40 and 42-43 are allowable because they are dependent on independent claims
 and 41 respectively, or an intermediate claim.
- 10. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/787,473

Art Unit: 2877

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Roy M. Punnoose** whose telephone number is **571-272-2427**. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Gregory J. Toatley, Jr.** can be reached on **571-272-2800 ext.77**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy M. Punnoose Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877 November 14, 2005

Gregory I. Fortiey, Jr.

11/01.05